**MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING**
**SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL**

**CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS**
**SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA**

**JUNE 7, 2016**

**INVOCATION:**
Chaplain Dave DeBoer, Chaplain of Merrill Gardens, gave the Invocation.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:**
Councilmember Zuniga led the Pledge of Allegiance.

**CALL TO ORDER:**
Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m., and announced that Mayor Patino would be attending the meeting via teleconference from 58 Hacienda Drive, Napa, CA 94558.

Mayor Patino confirmed that a copy of the City Council Agenda was posted at the teleconference meeting location, the location was accessible to the public, and the public would be given an opportunity to address the City Council from that location if requested.

**ROLL CALL:**
**MEMBERS PRESENT**
Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino.

**STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT**
City Manager Haydon, City Attorney Trujillo, Director of Community Development Appel, Police Commander Greene, City Librarian Housel, Director of Public Works Kahn, Interim Fire Chief Kenley, Director of Recreation & Parks Posada, Director of Utilities Springer, Deputy City Manager Stilwell, Deputy City Manager Tse, Director of Administrative Services Visé, and Deputy City Clerk Cleary.

**1. PROCLAMATIONS/COMMENDATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:**

**A. HOMETOWN HEROES PROGRAM**
Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield, Recreation and Parks Director Posada, Joe Skoda and Carl Holmquist of the American Legion presented the Hometown Heroes Program honoring residents who currently serve or have served in the military and acknowledged the Summer of 2016 Class of Honorees: James Bray, Dave Wright, Clay Bradfield, Jake Bradfield, Daniel Johnson, Quirino Galvez, Jonathan Staffel, Ryan Zierman, Thompson Knott, and Joseph Skoda III. Banners with each honoree's photo and name will be displayed along College Drive in the Bradley Square area for six months, at which time the banners will be taken down and given to each family as a memento and new banners put up with the next honorees.

**2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD**
Hans Duus, a business owner in Santa Maria and Councilman with the City of Solvang, introduced himself and thanked City staff for their help relocating Mr. Duus and his blacksmith business from Solvang to Santa Maria.

William Butler, speaking on behalf of himself and his wife Louise, spoke of their concern over the relocation of the fireworks show to Pioneer Valley High School.

Tami Turner expressed her concern over the fireworks at Pioneer Valley High School, particularly as she was in the process of training a young service dog. She stated she was also concerned about heavy traffic on the evening of the Fourth of July and potential issues on Brian Street.

Debbie Warren expressed her concern about the fireworks show being relocated from the Fairpark to Pioneer Valley High School. She also asked why local stores are allowed to sell fireworks.

City Manager Haydon commented that the Fireworks Task Force would be canvassing "hot spots" in the City to raise awareness about fireworks issues prior to the Fourth of July. He also said City staff would investigate the stores selling fireworks in the City once they learned which stores were involved.

**3. CONSENT CALENDAR:**
Consent Calendar Item 3G (Jim May Park Denitrification Biofilter Award of Bid) was pulled from the Consent Calendar to be continued to a future meeting.

Consent Calendar Items 3A through 3J (except Item 3G) were approved on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Zuniga, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES: None

ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

**A. ORDINANCES**
The reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions was waived. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar were adopted by the same vote cast at the first reading unless City Council indicated otherwise.

**B. MINUTES**
The Minutes of the regular Meeting of the City Council of May 17, 2016, were approved as submitted.

**C. 2016 PROPOSED BUDGET AND PUBLIC HEARING DATE**
The City Council received the proposed 2016-18 Budget, directed staff to distribute the budget document, and set the time for a public hearing at the next regular City Council meeting on June 21, 2016.

**D. WARRANTS**
Warrant Nos. 200450 to 200731 totaling $1,350,239.26 were ordered ratified subject to having been certified as being in conformity with the budget and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services. Warrant Nos. 199448, 199875, 199984, 200146, 200373, and 200377 were cancelled.

**E. PAYROLL**
Payment of payroll was ordered ratified subject to having been certified by the proper Department Heads, as shown on records on file in the Department of Administrative Services and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services.

**F. WESTGATE SENIOR HOUSING PROJECT**
The City Council conducted the second reading and adoption of Ordinance Nos. 2016-11 and 2016-12 amending the Blosser-Southwest Specific Plan and the Official Zoning Map on approximately 5.5 acres, located on the north side of West Battles Road, west of South Blosser, **FROM** PD/CC (Convenience Commercial) **TO** PD/R-3 (Planned Development/High Density Residential) for the Westgate Senior Housing Project.

**Ordinance No. 2016-11 adopted.** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ADOPTING AMENDMENTS TO THE BLOSSER-SOUTHWEST SPECIFIC PLAN FOR APPROXIMATELY 5.5 ACRES LOCATED NORTH OF BATTLES ROAD, WEST OF BLOSSER ROAD

**Ordinance No. 2016-12 adopted.** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING THE OFFICIAL ZONING MAP ON APPROXIMATELY 5.5 ACRES, LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF WEST BATTLES ROAD, WEST OF SOUTH BLOSSER ROAD

**G. AWARD OF BID – WHITAKER CONSTRUCTION GROUP, INC.**
This item was pulled from the agenda to be continued at a future Council meeting.

**H. SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE RULES AND REGULATIONS FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT**
The City Council conducted the second reading and adoption of Ordinance No. 2016-13 amending Santa Maria Municipal Code Title 8 to add Chapter 18 regarding rules and regulations for public transit systems.

**Ordinance No. 2016-13 adopted.** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, AMENDING TITLE 8 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE BY ADDING CHAPTER 18 TO IMPLEMENT RULES OF CONDUCT AND EXCLUSION PROCESS FOR PUBLIC TRANSIT SYSTEMS

**I. HEALTHY EATING ACTIVE LIVING (HEAL) CAMPAIGN**
Resolution No. 2016-66 was adopted allowing Santa Maria to join surrounding cities and encourage significant societal and environmental changes in support of healthier choices by promoting the Healthy Eating Active Living (HEAL) Cities Campaign.

**Resolution No. 2016-66 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, DECLARING THE CITY AS A HEALTHY EATING ACTIVE LIVING (HEAL) CITY

**J. INTENT TO ANNEX PARCELS INTO LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE**
Resolution Nos. 2016-67, 2016-68, and 2016-69 were adopted annexing Cuevas Warehouse, Best Buy Auto Sales, and Hancock Terrace into the respective Northwest, Southwest, and Northeast Landscape Maintenance Districts.

**Resolution No. 2016-67 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ANNEXING AND AUTHORIZING THE ASSESSMENT OF LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE FEES TO CUEVAS WAREHOUSE LOCATED IN THE NORTHWEST LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT (STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE SECTION 22500, ET SEQ.)

**Resolution No. 2016-68 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ANNEXING AND AUTHORIZING THE ASSESSMENT OF LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE FEES TO BEST BUY AUTO SALES LOCATED IN THE SOUTHWEST LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT (STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE SECTION 22500, ET SEQ.)

**Resolution No. 2016-69 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ANNEXING AND AUTHORIZING THE ASSESSMENT OF LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE FEES TO HANCOCK TERRACE LOCATED IN THE NORTHEAST LANDSCAPE MAINTENANCE DISTRICT (STREETS AND HIGHWAYS CODE SECTION 22500, ET SEQ.)

**4. PRESENTATION – ADDRESSING ILLEGAL FIREWORKS UPDATE.** The City Council received an update on the City of Santa Maria's enhanced efforts addressing illegal use of fireworks and Fourth of July firework events. Deputy City Manager Stilwell and Recreation and Parks Director Posada gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report.

Director of Recreation and Parks Posada explained that, this year's show will be at Pioneer Valley High School (PVH). PVH has agreed to partner with the City to create a Fourth of July Community Event. Modeled after the fireworks show the City of Lompoc holds each year, the Community Event at PVH will begin at 3:00 p.m. The pool will be open, carnival games will be offered, and parking for up to 700 cars will be available. The fireworks show will start at 9:00 p.m. and will last about 20 minutes. Informational flyers will be posted in surrounding neighborhoods to increase awareness. Police and City Rangers will be on-site to help with any traffic or parking issues. The nearest residence is approximately 1,000 feet from the site where the fireworks will take place.

Deputy City Manager Stilwell commented on the enhanced enforcement of fireworks use in the City. A multi-departmental task force has been created and will conduct sting operations during the month prior to the Fourth of July. Third party citations will be investigated. Fines of $1,000 will be imposed on anyone caught using illegal fireworks. With regard to public education, outreach efforts will include utility billing inserts, multi-media PSAs and informational flyers, bus placards, and yard signs. Residents are being encouraged to be neighborly when celebrating and to take pets into consideration.

Councilmember Orach encouraged City residents to report illegal fireworks use and sales and to be sure to include descriptions of cars or license plate numbers in the report.

Councilmember Zuniga commented that the use of illegal fireworks has decreased dramatically in her neighborhood. She also asked about food availability at PVH during the Community Event.

Recreation and Parks Director Posada responded that there would be food available along with public information booths included with the activities during the afternoon.

Councilmember Boysen reminded everyone that not only is use of illegal fireworks against the law, but so is possession of illegal fireworks. He also asked what the permit process was to hold the fireworks show at PVH.

Recreation and Parks Director Posada responded that the City hired a fireworks vendor to put on the fireworks show. The City is responsible for collecting public donations and the permitting was done through the City's Fire Department. PVH is responsible for the on-site activities, but not the fireworks.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield expressed her concern about traffic in the area.

Recreation and Parks Director Posada responded that several streets would be blocked off and officers would be out monitoring traffic and making sure blocked off streets are only accessible to residents living on those streets. Emergency crews will continue to have easy access to Marian Medical Center.

City Manager Haydon invited Jan Glick, Director of Animal Services with Santa Barbara County, to address residents' concerns. Ms. Glick reminded everyone to be sure pets are wearing their identification and license information on the Fourth of July. She also suggested keeping pets inside and turning on the radio or television to distract them. She encouraged tranquilization for highly sensitive pets. County Animal Services will have crates available by request at the Animal Shelter at 548 W. Foster Road, Monday through Friday, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:45 p.m. and Saturdays from 10:00 a.m. to 3:45 p.m.

**5. TAX EQUITY AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT (TEFRA) HEARING REGARDING APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVENUE BONDS BY THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY FOR THE BENEFIT OF PEOPLES' SELF-HELP HOUSING CORPORATION AND THE VALENTINE COURT PROJECT.** Director of Administrative Services Visé gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. TEFRA requires that a public hearing be held by the governing body in the jurisdiction in which a project to be financed is located before the project financing is approved. Peoples' Self-Help Housing (PSHH) Corporation requested that the California Municipal Finance Authority (Authority) serve as the municipal issuer of bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $5,000,000 of tax-exempt revenue bonds. The proceeds of the bonds would be used for the acquisition, rehabilitation and development of a 35-unit, multi-family rental housing development (Valentine Court Apartments) located at 280 E. Newlove in the City of Santa Maria. The debt will be the sole responsibility of PSHH and the City of Santa Maria will not bear any of the responsibility on repayment. The City of Santa Maria is required to enter into an agreement with the Authority in order for the Authority to issue the bonds. The City could, at any time, withdraw from the Authority following the issuance of the bonds.

Written communications.
There were no written communications.

Public Hearing
Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield opened the public hearing at 7:24 p.m., and immediately closed the public hearing having no requests to speak.

Council discussion.
Councilmember Boysen asked if the item was the same item previously approved in 2014 by Council.

Morgan Benevides, representing PSHH, responded that the construction schedule changed following the previous approval and the time limit expired. Thus, PSHH made a second request that the item be approved by Council. Construction will begin around March 2017.

Resolution No. 2016-70 was adopted approving, authorizing, and directing execution of a joint exercise of powers agreement relating to the California Municipal Finance Authority and approving the issuance of revenue bonds by the Authority for the purpose of financing or refinancing the acquisition and rehabilitation of certain facilities for the benefit of Valentine Court, L.P., or an affiliate thereof, on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

| | |
|---|---|
| AYES: | Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino |
| NOES: | None |
| ABSENT: | None |
| ABSTAIN: | None |

**Resolution No. 2016-70 adopted.** A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVENUE BONDS BY THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY (CMFA) FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING OR REFINANCING THE ACQUISITION AND REHABILITATION OF CERTAIN FACILITIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF VALENTINE COURT, L.P., OR AN AFFILIATE THEREOF

**6. LIBERTINE BREWING COMPANY APPEAL OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION DENIAL OF A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.** The City Council considered an appeal by Libertine Brewing Company of the Planning Commission's denial of a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) to allow public serving uses such as beer tasting and limited retail sales and tours.

Community Development Director Appel gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. The project site is located on A Street, just south of West Betteravia. Zoning in the area is Commercial-Manufacturing. The building is 8,300 square feet with a proposed 750 square foot tasting room. There are seven customer parking spaces with 14 spaces for employees or storage. There

are no formal shared parking agreements with surrounding buildings. Currently, Libertine has a storage and beer bottling operation on the site and they would like to add a tasting room.

On April 20, the Planning Commission denied the CUP that would allow a tasting room based on two issues. Parking congestion and potential adverse impacts on neighboring businesses. Currently, the City has four winery tasting rooms in industrial zones, one winery tasting room in a commercial zone, and one brewery tasting room in an industrial zone. The applicant proposed hours of operation on Fridays from 5:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. and weekends from 11:00 a.m. to 10:00 p.m. Staff suggested Appointment Only hours of operation which the applicant did not support.

Applicant's comments
Eric Newton, representing Libertine Brewing Company, spoke of how surprised the Libertine staff was to have their request for a CUP denied. He indicated that the company's hours would be off-hours to the surrounding businesses and that Libertine had worked out an agreement with another business on the site, so there would actually be 15 parking spaces. Mr. Newton also stated that a recently circulated petition misrepresented Libertine as a bar. Outreach efforts had been done with other businesses in the area and the majority of them were neutral on having Libertine open a tasting room. The tasting room would be a low-impact, secondary use for Libertine that would allow the public to sample and enjoy tastings, provide Libertine with retail sales, and offer tours to educate consumers on brewery operations. He went on to say that several conditions had been put in place to prevent Libertine from causing any interference with surrounding businesses, including a 6-month review plan. He cited other successful breweries in California and said Libertine deserved a chance to prove themselves. Libertine has two other locations (Morro Bay and San Luis Obispo) that have never had issues with safety or individuals out of control.

Councilmember Boysen asked staff if the Climbing Wall and Martial Arts businesses on the site required CUPs and if there was any opposition from the community when they opened. He also asked if there had been any opposition to the nearby Santa Maria Brewery when they opened.

Community Development Director Appel responded that the Climbing Wall and Martial Arts did require CUPs and there was no opposition to them or Santa Maria Brewery when they opened.

Councilmember Zuniga asked if there was an avenue for recourse should the Council approve the CUP.

Community Development Director Appel responded that, as with any CUP, if there is a violation of CUP conditions, the CUP can be brought back before the Planning Commission for review, adjustment, or revocation.

Councilmember Zuniga disclosed that she had visited the Libertine site, spoken with staff, and talked with people in the neighborhood. She asked Mr. Newton for clarification on what the draw would be for people to come to the tasting room, particularly if there was not going to be food or entertainment. She also asked what kind of marketing Libertine planned to do and what businesses had agreed to shared parking.

Mr. Newton responded that the primary operation in the building was packaging and bottling. The tasting room would be for tours so patrons could learn how beer is made, have an opportunity to taste, and to purchase beer. The name Libertine is spreading by word of mouth as the popularity of sour brews is increasing. Both next door neighboring businesses had agreed to let Libertine use their parking spaces in the evening in exchange for using Libertine's parking during the day.

Councilmember Orach asked for clarification on parking and pointed out that there was no place to sit should a patron choose to bring food.

Community Development Director Appel responded that with seven parking spaces, 14 patrons would be allowed in the tasting room. With an additional three parking spaces, Libertine could have up to 20 patrons. However, during the first year, 20 would be the maximum regardless of the number of parking spaces. At the end of the first year, if there were no complaints, Libertine could entertain up to 25 patrons.

Mayor Patino asked if Libertine staff had discussed the possibility of a tasting room with City staff prior to purchasing the building.

Mr. Newton responded that, upon purchasing the building, they had asked City staff about the possibility of a tasting room. City staff and Libertine staff began working on the conditions required to have a tasting room, but due to timing issues with escrow, Libertine was forced to purchase the building before the specifics of a tasting room had been resolved.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield asked why Libertine would be open later than a winery tasting room. She

also asked if patrons would be allowed to bring in their own food, how many Libertine employees would be at the location, and what their salaries would be.

Mr. Newton explained that Libertine was trying to accommodate nearby businesses and professionals who work all day by having later hours. Libertine beers would be available to purchase. There would be between four and six employees depending on whether a tasting room was allowed. Average wages for employees would be between $12 and $22 per hour.

Community Development Director Appel responded that patrons under the CUP would not be allowed to bring in food, but Council could amend that condition if they chose to.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield invited Tyler Clark, head brewer with Libertine, to make his comments.

Mr. Clark explained the brewing process Libertine uses and compared it to other brewing companies. He stated Libertine specialized in wild beers or sour beers using natural microbes from the air for fermentation instead of purchased yeast cultures. Libertine beers are brewed in San Luis Obispo and sit overnight. The next day the beer is trucked to Santa Maria and placed in barrels for three months to three years depending on the desired outcome. He also stressed that Libertine was very community oriented collaborating with farmers, wineries, and pubs in the area. Libertine beers are also sold in Canada, England, Sweden, France, and throughout the United States. Mr. Clark also described recent successful operations in Morro Bay and San Luis Obispo.

Councilmember Boysen asked if the operation in Morro Bay was zoned for Commercial-Manufacturing.

Mr. Clark responded that it was not and that the business is a two-story full restaurant.

Written communications
There were six written communications. Richard Meyer, Mike McKibben, Tom Durant, and Scott Ballew wrote in supporting the Planning Commission's denial of a CUP. Eric Newton and Dianna Beck wrote in support of Libertine Brewing Company.

Public hearing
Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield opened the Public Hearing at 8:10 p.m.

Stacey Dunlap, Eric Newton, Rodessa Newton, Sean Zurbrigger, Nathan Eady, Josh Gordillo, Catelyn Willig, Jemma Wilson, Brant Myers, Jeremiah Cooper, and Nancy Stewart spoke in favor of overturning the Planning Commission's denial of the CUP citing community interest in having a beer tasting room, the popularity and success of other Libertine businesses in San Luis Obispo and Morro Bay, and interest in increasing new businesses and activities in the City of Santa Maria.

Hans Duus, Paula Gijon, Rebecca Robinson, and James Simms spoke in favor of upholding the Planning Commission's denial of the CUP citing concern for parking issues, potential CUP violations, and concern that the business might not be appropriate in the proposed area.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield closed the Public Hearing at 8:53 p.m.

Council discussion
Councilmember Boysen commented that he was concerned about Libertine trying to place a tasting room in an area with already established manufacturing businesses. He said he felt Libertine's tasting room would be more appropriate elsewhere in the City, and the fact that staff was suggesting so many conditions to make the CUP work was indicative of all the problems involved. He stated he supported the Planning Commission's denial of the CUP.

Councilmember Orach expressed his concern over potential parking issues. He stated he did not believe the location was appropriate for a tasting room.

Councilmember Zuniga commented Council's goal is to think creatively and draw people into the City. Having an additional beer tasting room in the City of Santa Maria might help bridge the gap between Buellton and San Luis Obispo beer tasting rooms. She said she believed Libertine had proven themselves already and she supported giving Libertine the opportunity to try a tasting room on a limited basis with parking conditions in place.

Mayor Patino stated she saw Libertine as the kind of business Council wants in Santa Maria. However, she felt the business would be best suited for a downtown location. She also commented that it was important to respect the investment that already established businesses had made to keep their businesses flourishing and said she was going to vote to uphold the Planning Commission's position.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield commented that she could see the Libertine tasting room doing very well somewhere else in the City. She stated she would uphold the Planning Commission's decision.

Motion was made by Councilmember Boysen to adopt Resolution No. 2016-71, upholding the Planning Commission denial of the Libertine Brewing Company Conditional Use Permit at 2325 A Street, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote: 4-1

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, and Mayor Patino
NOES: Councilmember Zuniga
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

**6. RESPONSIBLE PET OWNERSHIP ORDINANCE.** Deputy City Manager Stilwell gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. Staff is proposing changes to Santa Maria Municipal Code Chapter 5-3, to be more in alignment with regulations adopted by Santa Barbara County. The amendments would add new definitions, clarify and update existing definitions, and delete obsolete language. A new section would be added addressing sanitation of quarters where animals are housed and the removal of animal waste. Article 4 would be updated to delineate procedures for rabies quarantine and animal bites. A new section would also be added regarding a Responsible Pet Ownership.

Under the new Responsible Pet Ownership ordinance, licensing would be required by State law and revenue would reduce taxpayer costs for the costs associated with Animal Control Services. Owners would ensure their pets receive veterinarian care and consultation. An unaltered pet license could be purchased in lieu of neutering or spaying a pet. The number of stray animals and associated costs would be reduced. If adopted, the City would join seven other cities in Santa Barbara County and have a policy consistent with the County of Santa Barbara. The City contracts with the County of Santa Barbara for animal control services.

Public Input
Lisa Kenyon, Randi Fairbrother, Jessica Wiebe, Darcie Barnes, Vonnie Stewart, Jordana Rea, Barbara Edwards, and Robert Walling spoke in favor of adopting the ordinance and gave examples of how the ordinance would help to decrease current issues with pet sales and animal populations.

There were no speakers opposed to the ordinance.

Council Discussion
Councilmember Boysen asked if the ordinance had been brought before Council previously.

City Manager Haydon responded that the item had not gone before Council, but had been under discussion for a couple of years. County Animal Control and community residents had expressed interest again recently and City staff moved the item forward for Council's consideration.

Ordinance No. 2016-14 was introduced by title only for first reading and continued to the next meeting for second reading and adoption, amending Chapter 5-3 regarding animals to include responsible pet owner provisions, on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Zuniga, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Orach, Waterfield, Zuniga and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

**Ordinance No. 2016-14 introduced.** AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, VARIOUS PROVISIONS OF CHAPTER 5-3 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE CONCERNING ANIMALS AND TO ENACT A RESPONSIBLE PET OWNER ORDINANCE

Mayor Patino left the meeting at 9:42.

**REPORT BY CITY MANAGER:**
City Manager Haydon reported the next City Council meeting would be on June 21, 2016, and would include a number of Consent Agenda items – the second reading of the Responsible Pet Ownership Ordinance, the Calling of the November 8th Municipal Election, amendments to the 2016 Investment Policy, a Pedestrian Improvement at Marian Medical Center, a Lease with Greyhound Lines, Inc., the cancellation of July 5th and 19th City Council meetings and establishment of a July 12th Special Meeting, an agreement for a Cost and Services Rate Study in the Utilities Department, a Mattress Recycling Program agreement, a Bird Abatement Program agreement and the gifting of the Enos Ranchos House to the City. Three Public Hearings are also scheduled – one for a zoning ordinance text amendment, one for the 2016-17 Landscape Assessments, and one for the proposed 2016-18 Budget adoption. Mr. Haydon also noted that the proposed budget would be available on the City's website Wednesday, June 8th.

**ORAL REPORTS OF COUNCILMEMBERS AND OFFICERS (INCLUDING AB1234 REPORTS):**
Councilmember Boysen reported that he visited the Hancock College Design class; attended the Central Coast Water Authority Board meeting; and participated in the Police Council Annual Golf Tournament.

Councilmember Orach report he attended a Local Agency Formation Commission meeting; the Oakley Park Dedication; and the Police Council Golf Tournament.

Councilmember Zuniga reported that she met with Airport mobile homeowners; attended the Strawberry Scholarship Dinner; attended the Community Action Commission's Champions Dinner; attended the Special Needs Drama and Music Club's presentation of Friendship; and attended the Ribbon Cutting at Oakley Park.

Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield reported that she attended the Law Enforcement Torch Run; the Oakley Park Ribbon Cutting; and thanked everyone for their participation in, and financial support of, the Santa Maria Police Council Golf Tournament.

**ADJOURNMENT:**
There being no further business before the City Council, Mayor Pro Tem Waterfield declared the City Council meeting adjourned at 9:50 p.m.

APPROVED:

June 21, 2016
Date

M. Beth Cleary
Deputy City Clerk and ex officio Clerk of the City Council

Alice M. Patino
Mayor of the City of Santa Maria

ATTEST:

M. Beth Cleary
Deputy City Clerk